arXiv:1401.0929v2 [math.CO] 21 Apr 2014

Directed Metric Dimension of Oriented Graphs with Cyclic Covering

Sigit Pancahayani and Rinovia Simanjuntak
Combinatorial Mathematics Research Group
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung 40132, Indonesia
e-mail: spancahayani@gmail.com, rino@math.itb.ac.id

Abstract

Let D be a strongly connected oriented graph with vertex-set V and arc-set A. The distance from a vertex u to another vertex v, $d(u,v)$ is the minimum length of oriented paths from u to v. Suppose $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, ...b_k\}$ is a nonempty ordered subset of V. The representation of a vertex v with respect to B, $r(v|B)$, is defined as a vector $(d(v,b_1), d(v,b_2), ..., d(v,b_k))$. If any two distinct vertices u, v satisfy $r(u|B) \neq r(v|B)$, then B is said to be a resolving set of D. If the cardinality of B is minimum then B is said to be a basis of D and the cardinality of B is called the directed metric dimension of D.

Let G be the underlying graph of D admitting a C_n-covering. A C_n-simple orientation is an orientation on G such that every C_n in D is strongly connected. This paper deals with metric dimensions of oriented wheels, oriented fans, and amalgamation of oriented cycles, all of which admitting C_n-simple orientations.

Keywords: directed metric dimension, oriented graphs, oriented wheels, oriented fans, amalgamation of oriented cycles, simple-C_n orientation.

1 Introduction

Let $D = (V, A)$ be a strongly connected oriented graph with vertex-set V and arc-set A. For $u, v \in V(D)$, the *distance from u to v*, $d(u,v)$, is the minimum length of oriented paths from u to v. Suppose $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, ...b_k\}$ is a nonempty ordered subset of V. The *representation of a vertex v with*

respect to B, $r(v|B)$, is defined as a vector $(d(v,b_1), d(v,b_2), ..., d(v,b_k))$. If any two distinct vertices u, v satisfy $r(u|B) \neq r(v|B)$, then B is said to be a *resolving set of* D. If the cardinality of B is minimum, then B is said to be a *basis* of D and the cardinality of B is called the *directed metric dimension* of D, $dim(D)$. This notion was introduced by Chartrand, Raines and Zhang [5] as an analog to the undirected version of metric dimension introduced by Slater [13] and Harary and Melter [8]. It is obvious that not every oriented graph has directed dimension, however necessary and sufficient conditions for the dimension of an oriented graph to be defined are still unknown.

Unlike the undirected version, not many results have been known on directed metric dimension. Characterization of graphs with particular directed metric dimension is only known for one-dimensional oriented graph.

Theorem 1.1. [5] *Let D be a nontrivial oriented graph of order n. Then, $dim(D) = 1$ if and only if there exists a vertex v in D such that*

(i) D admits an oriented Hamiltonian path P with a terminal vertex v such that $id(v) = 1$ and

(ii) if P in (i) is in the form $v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, ..., v_1, v$, then for each pair i, j of integer with $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$, the oriented graph $D - E(P)$ does not admit the arc in the form (v_j, v_i).

Other results include directed metric dimension of oriented trees [5], tournaments [11], and some Cayley digraphs [3].

In [6], Chartrand, Raines and Zhang defined a parameter called the *upper orientable dimension* of a graph G, $ORD(G)$, which is the maximum value of $dim(D)$ among the orientations D of G for which $dim(D)$ is defined.

In this paper we deal with the strongly connected oriented graphs admitting a cycle covering. The graphs under consideration are oriented wheels, oriented fans, oriented friendship graphs, and amalgamation of oriented cycles.

A *wheel*, W_n, is defined as $K_1 + C_n$ and a *fan*, $F_{m,n}$, is $\overline{K_m} + P_n$. We shall recall the (undirected) metric dimensions of the wheel W_n and the fan $F_{1,n}$, which are essentially the same on general cases, studied by Buczkowski *et al* [1] and Caceres *et al* [2], respectively.

Theorem 1.2. [1]

$$dim(W_n) = \begin{cases} 3, & n = 3, 6; \\ \lfloor \frac{2n+2}{5} \rfloor, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.3. [2]

$$dim(F_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} 1, & for\ n = 1; \\ 2, & for\ n = 2, 3; \\ 3, & for\ n = 6; \\ \lfloor \frac{2n+2}{5} \rfloor, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{t_i} | i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ be a collection of n cycles. The *vertex amalgamation* of cycles in $\mathcal{C}$, $Amal\{C_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$, is the graph constructed by joining the cycles in $\mathcal{C}$ on a common vertex called the *terminal vertex*. The *edge amalgamation* of cycles in $\mathcal{C}$, $Edge - Amal\{C_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$, is the graph constructed by joining n cycles on a common edge called the *terminal edge*. Iswadi *et al* [9] determined the dimension of $Amal\{C_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and Simanjuntak *et al* [12] determined the dimension of $Edge - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}$ as stated bellow.

Theorem 1.4. [9] *Let $Amal\{C_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be a vertex amalgamation of n cycles that consists of n_1 number of odd cycles and n_2 number of even cycles. Then,*

$$dim(Amal\{C_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^{n}) = \begin{cases} n_1, & for\ n_2 = 0; \\ n_1 + 2n_2 - 1, & for\ n_2 > 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.5. [12]

$$t - 2 \leq dim(Edge - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}) \leq t.$$

In this paper, we will also consider a generalization of vertex and edge amalgamations, which is the path amalgamation.

2 Main Result

We start by introducing the notion of simple orientation.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a graph with cyclic covering. An orientation on G is called C_n-**simple** if all directed C_ns in the resulting oriented graph are strong.*

2.1 Directed Metric Dimension of Oriented Wheels

For $n \geq 3$, a *wheel*, W_n, is defined as $K_1 + C_n$, where the vertex c in K_1 is called the *center* and the vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ in C_n is called the *outer vertices*. First we shall characterize oriented wheels which admitting C_3-simple orientations.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a C_3-simple orientation on W_n if and only if n is even.*

Proof. Suppose n is odd. Considering all possible orientations for the edge cv_1, (c, v_1) or (v_1, c) will result in the last C_3 in W_n being not strong. For the sufficiency, we define the arc set $A = \{(c, v_i), (v_i, v_{i+1}), (v_i, v_{i-1}) | i \text{ odd}\} \cup \{(v_i, c) | i \text{ even}\} \cup \{(v_1, v_n)\}$ which yields a C_3-simple orientation on W_n. □

Theorem 2.3. *If W_n is a wheel with even n admitting a C_3-simple orientation then*

$$dim(W_n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{for } n = 4; \\ \frac{n}{2} - 1, & \text{for } n \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

Proof. There are only two possibilities of C_3-simple orientation on W_n:

(A) where $A = \{(c, v_i), (v_i, v_{i+1}), (v_i, v_{i-1}) | i \text{ odd}\} \cup \{(v_i, c) | i \text{ even}\} \cup \{(v_1, v_n)\}$, or

(B) where $A = \{(c, v_i), (v_i, v_{i+1}), (v_i, v_{i-1}) | i \text{ even}\} \cup \{(v_i, c) | i \text{ odd}\} \cup \{(v_n, v_1)\}$.

Thus W_n does not contain an oriented Hamiltonian path and so by Theorem 1.1, $dim(W_n) > 1$.

For $n = 4$, let $B = \{v_1, v_2\}$, then under orientation A or B, $r(c|B) = (1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$, $r(v_3|B) = (3, 1)$ or $(3, 2)$ and $r(v_4|B) = (2, 3)$ or $(1, 3)$, respectively. Therefore, $dim(W_4) = 2$.

For $n \geq 6$, based on a C_3-simple orientation on W_n, we define a partition of $V(W_n)$: $V_0 = \{c\}$, $V_1 = \{v_i | d(c, v_i) = 1\}$, and $V_2 = \{v_i | d(c, v_i) = 2\}$. Since $d(x, y) = 2$ for $x \in V_2$, $y \in V_1$ and $d(x, y) = 3$ for $x, y \in V_2$ then we could have at most one vertex in V_2 omitted from a resolving set. Thus $dim(W_n) \geq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. For the upper bound, let B be a subset of V_2 of cardinality $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ and $b \in B$, then $d(v, b) = 1$ or 4, for $v \in V_1$, $d(c, b) = 2$, and $d(v, b) = 3$, for $v \in V_2 \setminus B$. Thus in $r(v|B)$, $v \in V_1$, the coordinates related to the neighbors of v are 1 and those related to the non-neighbors of v are 4. Additionally, $r(c|B) = (2, 2, \ldots, 2)$ and $r(v|B) = (3, 3, \ldots, 3)$

for $v \in V_2 \setminus B$. Therefore B resolves W_n and $dim(W_n) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and we obtain $dim(W_n) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$. □

By Lemma 2.2, a wheel W_n with n odd is not C_3-simple, however we could consider a subgraph of W_n admitting a C_3-simple; the subgraph is a fan $F_{1,n} = K_1 + P_n$, where $V(P_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$.

Theorem 2.4. *If W_n is a wheel with odd n which contains a fan $F_{1,n}$ admitting a C_3-simple orientation then*

$$dim(W_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 5; \\ \frac{n-3}{2}, & \text{for } n \geq 7, \ od(c) > id(c) \text{ or} \\ & od(c) < id(c) \text{ and } (v_n, v_1) \in E(W_n); \\ \frac{n-1}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n = 3$, it is easy to check that for all 4 possible C_3-simple orientations on W_3, we could apply Lemma 1.1 to obtain $dim(W_3) = 1$.

For $n = 5$, it is obvious that there is no oriented Hamiltonian path in all 4 possible C_3-simple orientations on W_5, and by Lemma 1.1, $dim(W_5) > 1$. Based on a C_3-simple orientation on W_n, we define a partition of $V(W_n)$: $V_0 = \{c\}$, $V_1 = \{v_i | d(c, v_i) = 1\}$, and $V_2 = \{v_i | d(c, v_i) = 2\}$. Let B be a 2-subset of V_2 and $b \in B$, then in $r(v|B)$, $v \in V_1$, the coordinates related to the neighbors of v are 1 and those related to the non-neighbors of v are 4. Additionally, $r(c|B) = (2, 2)$ and $r(v|B) = (3, 3)$ for $v \in V_2 \setminus B$. Therefore $dim(W_n) \leq 2$.

For $n \geq 7$, as in $n = 5$ before, we define a partition V_0, V_1, V_2 of $V(W_n)$. We shall consider two cases separately. (i) If $od(c) > id(c)$ or $od(c) < id(c)$ and $(v_1, v_n) \in E(W_n)$ then $d(x, y) = 2$ for $x \in V_2$, $y \in V_1$ and $d(x, y) = 3$ for $x, y \in V_2$. Thus we could have at most one vertex in V_2 omitted from a resolving set. Thus $dim(W_n) \geq |V_2| - 1$. (ii) If $od(c) < id(c)$ and $(v_n, v_1) \in E(W_n)$ then the distances will be the same as in case (i) except for $d(v_n, v_1)$, which obviously is 1. Thus at most one vertex in V_2 along with v_n could be omitted from a resolving set and so $dim(W_n) \geq |V_2| - 2$. For the upper bound, we shall the two afore-mentioned cases. (i) If $od(c) > id(c)$ or $od(c) < id(c)$ and $(v_1, v_n) \in E(W_n)$ then let B be a subset of V_2 of cardinality $|V_2| - 1$ and $b \in B$. Thus $d(v, b) = 1$ or 4, for $v \in V_1$, $d(c, b) = 2$, and $d(v, b) = 3$, for $v \in V_2 \setminus B$. Therefore in $r(v|B)$, $v \in V_1$, the coordinates related to the neighbors of v are 1 and those related to the non-neighbors of v are 4. Additionally, $r(c|B) = (2, 2, \ldots, 2)$ and $r(v|B) = (3, 3, \ldots, 3)$ for $v \in V_2 \setminus B$. In other words, B resolves W_n and $dim(W_n) \leq |V_2| - 1$. (ii) If $od(c) < id(c)$ and $(v_n, v_1) \in E(W_n)$ then let B be a subset of V_2

of cardinality $|V_2| - 2$, where $v_n \notin B$, and $b \in B$. We then obtain the same representations as in case (i) except for $r(v_n|B)$ where the coordinate related to v_1 is 1 and the coordinates related to the other vertices are 3. Thus B resolves W_n and $dim(W_n) \leq |V_2| - 2$. This completes the proof. □

2.2 Directed Metric Dimension of Oriented Fans

A *fan*, $F_{m,n}$, is defined as $\overline{K_m} + P_n$, where the vertices $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m$ in $\overline{K_m}$ is called the *centers*.

Theorem 2.5. *If $F_{m,n}$ is a fan admitting C_3-simple orientation then*

$$dim(F_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m = 1 \text{ and } n = 2, 3, 4; \\ m - 1, & \text{for } m \geq 2 \text{ and } n = 2; \\ m, & \text{for } m \geq 2 \text{ and } n = 3, 4; \\ m + 1, & \text{for } m \geq 2 \text{ and } n = 5; \\ \frac{n}{2} + m - 2, & \text{for } n \text{ even, } n \geq 6; \\ \frac{n-1}{2} + m - 2, & \text{for } n \text{ odd, } n \geq 7, \text{ and } od(c_i) > id(c_i), \forall i; \\ \frac{n-1}{2} + m - 1, & \text{for } n \text{ odd, } n \geq 7, \text{ and } od(c_i) < id(c_i), \forall i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $m = 1$ and $n = 2, 3, 4$, all $F_{m,n}$s have a directed Hamiltonian path which satisfies the premises of Theorem 1.1. (See Figure 1).



Figure 1: All possible C_3-simple orientations of the fan $F_{1,n}, n = 2, 3, 4$. (A Hamiltonian path is depicted in dotted line.)

For $m \geq 2$ and $n = 2$, we have $d(c_i, v) = d(c_j, v)$ for $v \in P_2$ and $d(c_i, c_j) = 3\ \forall i \neq j$. Thus at most one center vertex could be omitted from a resolving set and so $dim(F_{m,n}) \geq m - 1$. With $B = \{c_i | 1 \leq i \leq m - 1\}$, it is easy to see that each vertex has distinct representation with respect to B, and so $dim(F_{m,2}) \leq m - 1$.

For the rest of the cases, based on a C_3-simple orientation on $F_{m,n}$, we define a partition of $V(F_{m,n})$: $V_0 = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m\}$, $V_1 = \{v_i | d(c, v_i) = 1\}$, and $V_2 = \{v_i | d(c, v_i) = 2\}$. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $d(c_i, v) = d(c_j, v)$ for $v \in V_1 \cup V_2$ and $d(c_i, c_j) = 3$ $\forall i \neq j$; thus at most one center vertex could be omitted from a resolving set. Moreover, we have $d(x, y) = 2$ for $x \in V_2$, $y \in V_1$ and $d(x, y) = 3$ for $x, y \in V_2$, and so we could have at most one vertex in V_2 omitted from a resolving set. Thus $dim(F_{m,n}) \geq (m-1) + (|V_2| - 1) = m + |V_2| - 2$. For the upper bound, let B_1 be a subset of V_0 of cardinality $m - 1$ and B_2 be a subset of V_2 of cardinality $|V_2| - 1$. For $b_1 \in B_1$, we have $d(c_i, b_1) = 3$, for $c_i \in V_0 \setminus B_1$, $d(v, b_1) = 2$, for $v \in V_1$, and $d(v, b_1) = 1$, $v \in V_2$. For $b_2 \in B_2$, we have $d(c_i, b_2) = 2$, $d(v, b_2) = 1$ or 4, for $v \in V_1$, and $d(v, b_2) = 3$, for $v \in V_2 \setminus B_2$. Let $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, then in $r(v|B)$, $v \in V_1$, the coordinates related to the centers are 2, to the neighbors of v are 1, and to the non-neighbors of v are 4. Additionally, $r(c_i|B) = (3, 3, \ldots, 3, 2, 2, \ldots, 2)$ for $c_i \in V_0 \setminus B_1$ and $r(v|B) = (1, 1, \ldots, 1, 3, 3, \ldots, 3)$ for $v \in V_2 \setminus B$. Therefore B resolves $F_{m,n}$ and $dim(F_{m,n}) \leq m + |V_2| - 2$, and we obtain $dim(F_{m,n}) = m + |V_2| - 2$. Depends on parity of n and degrees of the centers, $|V_2|$ is either $\frac{n}{2}$, or $\frac{n-1}{2}$, or $\frac{n-1}{2} + 1$. Substituting $|V_2|$ with appropriate number proves the theorem. □

2.3 2-Dimensional Oriented Wheels and Fans

Here we shall construct an orientation on W_n and $F_{1,n}$ in such a way that the directed metric dimension is 2.

Theorem 2.6. *For $n \geq 3$, there exists an orientation on the wheel W_n such that $dim(W_n) = 2$.*

Proof. For $3 \leq n \leq 7$ we have a C_3-simple orientation for W_n such that $dim(W_n) = 2$ as in Theorem 2.3 and 2.4. For $n \geq 8$, consider a subgraph $F_{1,7}$ with vertex-set $\{c, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_7\}$. Apply a simple$-C_3$ orientation with $od(c) > id(c)$ on the $F_{1,7}$. We then apply an orientation such that there exists an oriented path from v_1 to v_7, and lastly, for $v \in V(W_n) \setminus V(F_{1,7})$, set an arc from c to v.

The next step is to determine the directed dimension of W_n under such an orientation. Choose $B = \{v_2, v_4\}$. Then the representations of all vertices are $r(c|B) = (2, 2), r(v_1|B) = (1, 4), r(v_2|B) = (0, 3), r(v_3|B) = (1, 1), r(v_4|B) = (3, 0), r(v_5|B) = (4, 1), r(v_6|B) = (3, 3), r(v_7|B) = (4, 4)$, and $r(v_i|B) = (i-7+4, i-7+4)$, for $8 \leq i \leq n$. Since W_n does not admit an oriented Hamiltonian path then $dim(W_n) = 2$. □

Theorem 2.7. *For $n \geq 3$, there exists an orientation on the fan $F_{m,n}$ such that $dim(F_{m,n}) = 2$.*

Proof. For $n = 3$, let the arc set of $F_{1,3}$ be $A = \{(v_1, v_2), (v_3, v_2), (v_1, c), (v_2, c), (c, v_3)\}$. It is clear that $B = \{v_2, v_3\}$ is a resolving set. Since such orientation does not admit an oriented Hamiltonian path, we have $dim(F_{1,3}) = 2$. For $n = 4$, let the arc set of $F_{1,4}$ be $A = \{(v_1, v_2), (v_3, v_2), (v_3, v_4), (v_1, c), (v_2, c), (c, v_3), (v_4, c)\}$. Thus we have $B = \{v_2, v_3\}$ as a resolving set and, since $F_{1,4}$ does not admit an oriented Hamiltonian path, we have $dim(F_{1,4}) = 2$.

for $n \geq 5$, consider a subgraph $F_{1,4}$ with vertex-set $\{c, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. Give $F_{1,4}$ an orientation as in the previous case. Then apply an orientation such that there exists an oriented path from v_n to v_4 and, lastly, for $v \in V(W_n) \setminus V(F_{1,7})$, set an arc from u to v. Now, let $B = \{v_2, v_3\}$. The representation of all vertices are $r(c|B) = (2, 1), r(v_1|B) = (1, 2), r(v_2|B) = (0, 2), r(v_3|B) = (0, 1), r(v_4|B) = (3, 2)$, and $r(v_i|B) = (i-4+3, i-4+2)$, for $5 \leq i \leq n$. Since $F_{1,n}$ does not admit the directed Hamiltonian path, then $dim(F_{1,n}) = 2$. □



Figure 2: The 2-dimensional oriented W_n and $F_{1,n}$.

So far we have obtained directed dimension for wheels and fans admitting C_3-simple orientations and construct 2-dimensional oriented wheels and fans. A question arisen after this study is whether the C_3-simple orientation gives the maximum possible dimension, i.e. the $ORD(W_n)$ and $ORD(F_n)$, or is there an orientation which provides larger dimension? Another interesting question is whether all values up to the $ORD(W_n)$ or $ORD(F_n)$ are achievable.

Problem 2.8. *For $n \geq 3$, determine $ORD(W_n)$ and $ORD(F_{m,n})$.*

Problem 2.9. *Let k_W be an integer in $[2, ORD(W_n)]$ and k_F be an integer in $[2, ORD(F_{m,n})]$. Does there exist an orientation on W_n such that $dim(W_n) = k_W$? Similarly, does there exist an orientation on $F_{m,n}$ such that $dim(F_{m,n}) = k_F$?*

2.4 Directed Metric Dimension of Amalgamation of Oriented Cycles

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{n_i} | i = 1, 2, ..., t\}$ be a collection of t strongly oriented cycles. A *path amalgamation* of cycles in $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $P_x - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}$, where $2 \leq x \leq \min\{|V(C_{n_i})|\} - 1$, is the graph constructed by joining the cycles in $\mathcal{C}$ on a common path or order x called the *terminal path*. We shall denote the vertices is $P_x - Amal\{C_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$ as follow: $P_x = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{x-1} v_x$ and $C_{n_i} = v_1 v_2 \dots v_x v_{x+1}^i v_{x+2}^i \dots v_{n_i-1}^i v_{n_i}^i v_1$. We shall use the notation P_{n_i} for the path $v_{x+1}^i v_{x+2}^i \dots v_{n_i-1}^i v_{n_i}$.



Figure 3: A $P_x - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}$ of strongly oriented cycles.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $P_x - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}$ be a path amalgamation of t strongly oriented cycles then $dim(P_x - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}) = t - 1$.*

Proof. If an arbitrary set S does not contain a vertex in P_{n_i} and P_{n_j} where $i \neq j$, then $r(v_{n_i}^i | S) = r(v_{n_j}^j | S)$ and so S is not a resolving set. Thus each resolving set needs to contain a vertex from each pair of P_{n_i} and P_{n_j}. This results in $dim(P_x - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}) \geq t - 1$.

Next, consider $B = \{v_{n_1}^1, v_{n_2}^2, ..., v_{n_{t-1}}^{t-1}\}$. For a vertex $u \in P_{n_i}$, we have $d(u, v_{n_i}^i) \leq n_i - 1$, while for a vertex $u \in P_{n_j}, j \neq i$, $d(u, v_{n_i}^i) = d(u, v_1) + (x - 1) + (n_i - x) = d(u, v_1) + n_i - 1$ and for a vertex $u \in P_x$, $d(u, v_{n_i}^i) =$

$d(u, v_x) + n_i - x$. This guarantees that each vertex will have distinct representation with respect to B. Thus B is a resolving set and $dim(P_x - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}) \leq t - 1$. This completes the proof. □

If the path P_x is of order 1 then we have a vertex amalgamation of strongly oriented cycles and if it is of order 2 then we have an edge amalgamation of strongly oriented cycles. Thus the following corollaries hold.

Corollary 2.11. *Let $Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}$ be a vertex amalgamation of t strongly oriented cycles then $dim(Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}) = t - 1$.*

Corollary 2.12. *Let $Edge - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}$ be an edge amalgamation of t strongly oriented cycles then $dim(Edge - Amal\{C_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{t}) = t - 1$.*

References

[1] P.S. Buczkowski, G. Chartrand, C. Poisson, P. Zhang, On k-dimensional graphs and their bases, *Periodica Math. Hungarica* **46** (2003) 9-15.

[2] J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, On the metric dimension of some families of graphs, *Elec. Notes Disc. Math.* **22** (2005) 129-133.

[3] Melodie Fehr, Shonda Gosselin, Ortrud R. Oellermann, The metric dimension of Cayley digraphs, *Disc. Math.* **306** (2006) 31-41.

[4] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M. Johnson, O.R. Oellermann, Resolvability in Graphs and the Metric Dimension of a Graph, *Disc. Appl. Math.* **105** (2000) 99-113.

[5] G. Chartrand, M. Raines, P. Zhang, The Directed Distance Dimension of Oriented Graphs, *Math. Bohemica* **125** (2000) 155-168.

[6] G. Chartrand, M. Raines, P. Zhang, On the dimension of oriented graphs, *Util. Math.* **60** (2001) 139-151.

[7] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York (2005).

[8] F. Harary and R.A. Melter, On The Metric Dimension of a Graph, *Ars Combin.*, **2** (1976) 191-195.

[9] H. Iswadi, E.T. Baskoro, A.N.M. Salman, R. Simanjuntak, The Metric Dimension of Amalgamation of Cycles, *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **41** (2010) 19-31.

[10] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari, A. Resenfeld, Landmarks in Graphs, *Disc. App. Math.* **70** (1995) 217-229.

[11] A. Lozano, Symmetry Breaking in Tournaments, *Elec. J. Combin.* **20** (2013) #P69.

[12] R. Simanjuntak, H. Assiyatun, H. Baskoroputro, H. Iswadi, Y. Setiawan, and S. Uttunggadewa, Graphs with relatively constant metric dimensions, preprint.

[13] P.J. Slater, Leaves in Trees, *Congr. Numer.* **14** (1975) 549-559.